SYNTHESIS OF DUA FRACIENTS LIMIED TO A SOLID SUPPORT

Sylvie POCHET, Tam HUVIMI-DIMM and Joan IGOLEN

Unit6 **deChimie Or@ique,UA CDRS487, D6pertement deBiochimle** et Génétique Moléculaire, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Docteur Roux, **75724 brie Cedex 15, France.**

(Rue&ed in Belgiwn **2 April 1987)**

Abstract: Two simple procedures for the preparation of DNA fragments **covalenfly and specffically linked to a solid support are presented.** The first method consists of the preparation of a nucleoside primer **which serves as the initfative site for conventional synthesis of olfgomers in either 3' or 5' direction. The second procedure involves the direct attachant of fndepesdent?y synthe\$fzed and purified oligaers to a functfonalized solid support. The accessibility of such)upported oligodeoxynucleotides to enzymes is checked with restriction endonucleases.**

Several physical and chemical methods for coupling nucleic acids to a solid support¹ have been described. Polynucleotides can be immobilized by adsorption or entrapment within a matrix², or covalently linked to an activated support by N-hydroxysuccinimide, carbonyldiimidazole or cyanogen bromide³. These methods involve multipoint attachment of long fragments (100 bp) by the aromatic bases rather than by a specific linkage⁴. Therefore, major regions of the DMAs are wnavailable for base hybridization and other reactions. Another method consists of the chemical polymerisation of 5'-phosphate mononucleotides with DCC and esterification via their 5'-phosphate ends to cellulose powder⁵. Such supports are used as solid state primers or templates for ligases and **polymerases, allcuing the covalent attachment of homopolymers to a matrix 5,7** ; **the loading capacity of such supports is about 10-100 nHo1 of chain ends per ag of cellulose. Recently, an enzymatic method for linking IdA)-tailed ssDNA to oligo(dT)-cellulose and its application for in vivo synthesis of DNA probes have been reported5.**

We report in this paper two procedures allowing simple access to oligonucleotides covalently **attached to a solid support by a single specific linkageg,lO.**

The first method involves oligomers classically synthesized from a nucieoside primer (Scheme 1).

The first nucleosfde is attached by the heterocyclic moiety to the polymer support via a spacer arm and serves as the initiation site for conventional synthesis in either 5' or 3' direction **(routes a and b). Unlike the conventional supports. the spacer link is stable to deblocking conditions and the deprotected synthesized oligonucleotide remains attached by a covalent linkage** to the solid support. The use of a ribonucleoside as anchor allows the release of the oligomer from the support by a chemical or enzymatic reaction with substantial yields (route c).

In a second procedure, we describe the direct attachment of independently synthesized and puri**fled olfgomers to a functfonalited solid support via a long spacer 1fnR (Scheme 2)**

This technique is suitable for the limkage of double-stranded DNA on a solid support, unlike the **previously reported methods for coupling oligonucleotfdes.**

Such supported oligonucleotfdes provide convenfent tools for the molecular bfologfst and could serve as affinity hydrldfzatfon columns for both detection and isolation of cmplementary polynuclelc acids or blnding protefns.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1 - PREPARATIOW OF OLIWNUCLEUTIDES BOUND TO A SOLID SUPPORT BY CHEMICAL SYNTHESIS FROM A PRIMER 1.1 Attachment of the inltfal nucleostde to a polymar support

The solid support system described in Scheme 1 requires the attachment of an fnfttal nucleoside. the primer, via its heterocyclfc mblety (Schema 31.

The preparation of such a primer requires two prelfmfnary steps: the actlvatlon of nucleoside and the functionalization of the polymer support with amino groups. Preliminary experiments in solution with model alkyl diamines (ethylenediamine, 1,10-diaminodecane, spermine) and base

activated nucleotfdcs led to monosubstitution products.

Activation of the hcterocyclfc moiety at the C-4 positions of thyaina and uracfl and. the C-6 posftim of guanine was performad with 1.2.4-trfazole and O-chlorophenyl-phosphodf&Forldate in anhydrous pyridine using a reported procedure¹¹⁻¹². The protected nucleosides 1a to 5a were converted into the 4-(or 6-)-triazolyl derivatives 1b to 5b with good yields (Scheme 3).

We have tested different polymers commonly used in oligonucleotide synthesis: silica gel, polyacrylamide and controlled pore glass (CPG)^{13,14}. The functionalization of these supports with **spacer ams of different lengths bearing an amino group was carried out by standard methods:** reaction of silica gel with either triethoxysilylpropylamine or dichlorodimethylsilane followed by aminoethanol¹⁵; treatment of cross-linked polyacrylmorpholide (Enzacryl gel K2) with ethylenediamine, 1,10-diaminodecane and spermine¹⁶; or, in the case of glass beads, use of a commercial support, the long chain alkylamine controlled pore glass (LCAA-CPG, 500 A).

The next step is the substitution of these different functfonalfzed supports with activated rfbo- or deovribo-nucleotides. This reaction was performed in dfoxane or pyrfdfne. All non-reacted silanol groups (after functionnalization with spacer arms) and residual amino groups were then **capped with isobutylchlorofonuate followed by trimethylsflylchlorfde before any coupling step. The absence of primary amino groups was verified by the ninhydrfne assay. The loading with nucleosfdes** 1b to 5b was estimated by the spectroscopic analysis of the dimethoxytrityl cation (DMT) released by acidic treatment (2% BSA in CH₃OH/CH₂Cl₂ or 3% TCA in CH₃CN) of an aliquot of the support. The loading of supports 6-14 varied between 9 and 94 µMol/g (Table).

Support	Polymer	Spacer arm	Nucleoside	Substitution µmole/g
$\overline{6}$	Polyacryl- morpholide	1.10-diaminodecane	$\frac{DMT}{T_{Bz}}$	63
$\overline{1}$	Polyacryl- morpholide	1.10-diaminodecane	$\text{DMT}_{\overline{I_{(P)}}}$	71
$\overline{8}$	Polyacryl- morpholide	1.10-diaminodecane	ம புத்	29*
$\overline{6}$	Polvacryl- morpholide	1.2-diaminoethane	DNTTP	32
10	Polyacryl- morpholide	spermine	$\frac{DMT}{T_{Bz}}$	94
$\overline{\mathbf{u}}$	Silica gel 7734 Merck $(63-200 \text{ u})$	3-amino-1-propanol	$M_{T_{Bz}}$ $\frac{DMT}{G_{Bz}}$	85
$\overline{12}$	Silica gel porasil Waters $(37-75 \nu)$	3-amino-1-propanol		16
13	LCAA-CPG 500 Å Pierce (125-177µ)	long chain alkyl- ani ne	$\frac{DMT}{T_{Bz}}$	25
14	ECAA-CPG 500 Å Pierce (125-177 u)	long chain alkyl- amine	DMTUBE	10

TABLE: Functionalization of supports 6 to 14 with activated nucleosides

* The loading was estimated after coupling with DMTT_{OH}.

1.2. Stability of the nucleoside-support

The stability of the primer-support linkage under deblocking conditions (0.3M N~,N2,N3.N4-tetrathylguanidinfum P-pyrfdfne-aldoxfr in 1:l dioxane-water overnight followed by concentrated ammonia hydroxyde at 50° for 5h) was checked for each support by spectro**scopic analysfs of the released OMT. After such a treatment. no change in the loadfng of the CPG** and polyacrylamide supports was detected. However after an overnight treatment at 50° with concentrated NH₄OH, a loss of capacity (about 10%) was observed for the polyacrylamide supports as compared to the CPG support. These results were confirmed by analysis of the supernatants by HPLC. Thus, CPG appears to be the best support and was chosen for further experiments.

1.3. Oligodeoxynucleotides synthesized from the primer-CPG support

The proposed linkage leaves the 5' and 3' OH available for chain elongation from either end.

We have tested the CPG support for the synthesis of a 18-mer (15) following the phosphotriester **method with a classical 3'-5' elongation¹⁴ (Figure 1). The support 13 (200 mg, 5** μ **Mol) loaded**

with 2b was detritylated with 2% BSA. The synthesis was performed from the 5' OH ends with trimer **blocks ufth a.825 average coupling yfeld. At the end of the elongatfon, the support bound olfgomer** was submitted to deblocking conditions (TMG-PAO, NH₄OH). The presence of the elongated chain on **the support was checked by acid hydrolysfs; HPLC analysfs of the supematant fndfcated that the three bases are present In the expected ratio.**

We have also synthesized two long fragments from support 13 (Figure 1) using two chemical methods: a 35-mer (16) prepared according to the phosphotriester method with manual addition of trimer blocks and a 34-mer (17) with the phosphoramidite method using an automatic synthesizer. **The overall yield was about 26%. The presence of endonuclease sites RsaI and Hpa II, In the 35- and 34-ar sqtmnces respectively, allows the control of the elongated chain Instead of using acid hydrolysis (cf 5 31**

Figure 1

1.4 Release of the synthesfzed ollgodeoxynucleotfde from the solid support

In order to check whether a covalently bound fragment could be cleaved from our support by a specific chemical reaction, we have used as a primer on CPG a protected uridine (support 14). We presume that, if the elongation is performed from the 3'-end of a ribose residue, an alkaline **hydrolysfs should penit the release of the anchored olfgomerI7.**

In order to test this hypothesis, we have synthesized a thymidfnyl-tetramer from support 14 (35 mg) following the phosphotriester method. The first coupling with 5'-dimethoxytrityl thymidine **gfves a 3'-3' linkage with the 5'-end available for a classical 3'-5' elongation. The next step Is** the condensation of a trimer of T's. After complete deprotection, the supported oligomer 18 is treated with 1N NaOH at 50°C for 10 minutes. HPLC analysis reveales the presence of the tetramer **(Tpl3T In the supernatant, Identical to the sams olfgomsr synthesfzed with the standard succfnyl linkage.**

1.5 Elongation fn'efther the 3' or 5' direction

As described before, the proposed lfnkage leaves free the 3' and 5' OH and allows chafn elongation from both ends. In order to investigate this possibility, we decided to synthesize a 15- mer from the 3'-end of a primer nucleoside (support 14) in either 3'-5' (oligomer 19) or 5'-3' (oligo-**Bcr 20) direction (Scheme 4).** The use of a ribonucleoside¹⁷ as primer allows visualization of **the synthesfzed olfgcmers. Thus, after removal of the protecting groups, the two solid supported** oligomers 19 and 20 were subjected to an alkaline hydrolysis. The supernatants were analyzed by **polyacrylamfde gel electrophoresfs (Ffgure 2).**

S'HOCCCAGTCACGACGTTOHS.

3485

Figure 2: Autoradiogram of 20% polyacry**lamidc gel electrophorcsis (8 H urea)** showing crude oligomers obtained after alkaline treatment of supports 19 (lane 1) and 20 (lane 2).

In 3'-5' elongation (route a), coupling steps with the trimer blocks were followed by a capping **reaction (acetic anhydride in pyridfne). In the 5'-3' elongation (route b), the synthesis was** carried out in absence of capping the unreacted phosphate groups and led to the formation of many **by-products, as shown on Figure 2. Purification of the crude oligcmers was perfornad by HPLC. The 5'-tritylated oligomer was obtained with 22% yield from the support 19 and the 3'-trftylated oligo-** mer was obtained with 12% yield from the support 20. Detritylation of the two tritylated oligomers **with 80% acetic acid for 4 hours led to the identical 15-nar.**

This first procedure described above allaws access to long fragments anchored to a solid support with good yields following the phosphotriester or phosphoramidite approach and the machine synthesis using such supports. The use of a cytidine residue as anchor permits the release of the partially or fully deblocked synthesized olfgonucleotide from the support under mild conditions independently of the deblocking reactions.

Recently, a new solid support usingia long spacer arm terminating in a primary hydroxyl has **been describedIE. The proposed linkage is stable under deblocking steps and allows the release of the fully blocked oligomers. However this system does not** permit chain **elongation in both directions, since the 3' or 5' teraini is linked to the support.**

2 - DIRECT ATTACHMENT OF A UNIQUE OLIGONUCLEOTIDE TO A SOLID SUPPORT

The smthod described above suffers one drawback: accumulation of shorter chains in addition to the desired product. If these by-products are too numerous. they could constitute a handicap for the use of such supports as affinity columns for binding proteins.

To avoid the presence of truncated failure sequences and the possible resort to a solid supported enzymatic digestion^{18,19}, we have investigated another method for direct attachment to a solid support of an oligomer independently synthesized and purified.

This procedure consists of synthesizing oligomers which contain a reactive arm (Y) at any site of the sequence, followed by the formation of a covalent bond between this arm (Y) and a support functionalized with a complementary reactive group (A) (Scheme 2).

The incorporation of a modified nucleoside such as the 5-methyl-4-triazolyl-pyrimidin-2-one-1- B -D-2'-deoxyribofuranoside^{10,21,22} in the sequence occurs at any C site by manual or automatic synthesis. The reaction with a bifunctional chain such as 1,6-diaminohexane gives the expected reactive arm. The synthesized oligomer is then deprotected and purified according to classical technique (HPLC or gel electrophoresis). The reaction of this spacer arm with a convenient support can be performed in aqueous phase or organic mixture.

The choice of the activated support depends on the kind of bond to be formed, as well as the coupling medium. We have chosen the Sepharose-4B support bearing an hexanoic acid arm (CH-Sepharose-4B). The activation by the N-hydroxysuccinimide group is accomplished with 1,3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide in anhydrous dioxane³. The coupling reaction is performed at 4° overnight in 0.1 M NaHCO₃ pH 8 with 0.5 M NaCl according to described procedures.

We first investigated the attachment of heptamers 21 and 22 containing a reactive arm at the 5' and 3'-termini, respectively (Scheme 5).

To the triazolyl heptamers were added 5 equiv. of 1,6-diaminohexane in dioxane. The functionalized oligomers were then subjected to deblocking conditions (0.3 M TMG-PAO overnight; conc. NH4OH at 50° for 5h) and purified by HPLC on Zorbax ODS. The two tritylated heptamers 21 and 22 were dissolved in the coupling buffer (0.1 M NaHCO3 pH 8, 0.5 M NaCl) and added to the activated CH-Sepharose-4B. A blank assay was carried out in the same conditions with an hexamer without the alkylamine chain, $d(G_0)$. After stirring overnight at 4^* , the supports were washed with the coupling buffer. The coupling reaction was monitored by UV measurement of the DMT cation released by acid treatment of loaded supports. The efficiency of coupling for the heptamers 21 and 22 was about 80% whereas less than 2% of the hexamer d(CG)3 remained in the blank (non specific linkage). The loading was estimated to 2 µMol of heptamers per g of Sepharose. The presence of the oligomers was checked by HPLC analysis after acid and enzymatic hydrolysis (PDE I) of the loaded supports.

We also investigated the attachment of a long fragment (35-mer) bearing the same amino spacer. annealated with its complementary strand (34-mer), to the activated CH-Sepharose-4B. The coupling reaction was monitored with the ³²P labeled 35-mer as tracer. The efficiency of coupling was about 35% in this experiment. The presence of these oligomers linked to Sepharose was controlled by enzymatic cleavage of the anchored duplex according protocol described in \S 3.

Recently, DNA affinity supports using modified oligonucleotides covalently attached to nylon membranes have been reported in a congress by Ruth et al.23. The anchorage between the support and the oligonucleotide occurs at the C-5 position of a pyrimidine ring via a spacer arm.

3 - RESTRICTIOW **EMIMKZLEASE CLEAVAGES**

The use of restriction endonuclease allows the control of the presence of long sequences anchored to the support and, using the resulting fragment from the enzymatic cleavage, the estimation of their accessibility to these enzymes.

Figure 3 illustrates the cleavage by HpaII of the anchored 34-mer on CPG (16). In a first step, the supported 34-mer (16) was 5'-³²P labeled using γ -³²P-ATP and T4-polynucleotide **kinase34. After incubation at 37' for 30 min. and heat danaturatlon, the support was washed with kfnase buffer until no radioactivity was detected in supernatant:After hybridization with the** complementary 33-mer, the reaction mixture was incubated with the corresponding endonuclease. The efficiency of cleavage was estimated by counting the radioactivity in the supernatant (60% yield). Polyacrylamide gel analysis confirmed the presence of the expected 4-mer in the supernatant, resulting from the cleavage by HpaII of the anchored 34-mer.

CONCLUSION

We have presented the preparation of DNA fragments specifically attached to a matrix via a new **link between a nucleotide base and a solid support.**

The anchored oligomer can be obtained by chemical synthesis from a primer following standard **procedures. The use of a ribonucleoside as primer allows the release of the synthesized anchored oligomer fron the support under mild chemical conditions, independently of the deblocking** reactions. Automation of the synthesis is easily achieved.

The solid-supported DNA fragment can also be obtained by direct attachment of a modified oligo**nucleotide to an activated support.**

The supported DNA systea is accessible to enzymes such as T4 polynucleotide kinase and restriction endonucleases.

The use of such supports as a primer for ligase allowing access to long fragments or as an **anchored coaplementary strand for the detection and the purification of polynucleic acids will be** presented elsewhere. The use of these solid supported DNA fragments as affinity columns for the **purification of binding proteins is In progress.**

化过敏运转运转槽 ារស្រាប់ព្រៃក្រុម ប 外观 医毛蛋白 ်များစုံး တစ်မည်နိုင်သို့ $+5.1 - 0.7$ 出演 擬乱の ා බල මෙ ඉන්හි nnum staffing ad Fac. F. Sed. en na salifonta 那些的人的时间。 $\label{eq:1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{E}\right) = \mathcal{E}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{E}\right)$ الگروه فت و څخه لا gen hand for 14 青金铜版计划编 【 seasní fit , s agreef of dermant Cyprox. 化氯酸酯 医十分肌肉瘤 té italien le ડ મધ્ય પ્રાપ્ય વ્યક્ ेश्वस्थान कि ।
([(लाॅक्ट विश ● 長江 一日 $\sim 10^{11}$ ા સ્થા વ્લેક્સને بلأت فيتحدث ولاهدامه 医精氨二甲酰氨 医梅酸 ોજા પૂર્વ તુક∺ું **រូបធំដើរ អា**ក្រុង តែ **Haught Life Spend** ុកក្នុងស្នង់ជ းက ကြီးကို 经生产 网络语 hiva le contrar រង ថ **PERINTENT** تعمد

Figure 3: Autoradiogram of 20% polyacryl**aside gel electrophoresis (8 M urea)** showing fragment resulting from the cleavage by HpaII of the anchored 34-mer **(16) hybridized with the complementary -** 33-mer (lane 3); the same reaction without **the 33-mer (lane 4); fragments of 8.4 (lane 1) and 6 (lane 2) units as length taarkers.**

EXPERIMENTAL

Uaterlals

Enzacryl Gel K2 was purchased from Aldrich, LCM-CPG (500 A pore Size) from Pierce and Sepharose-46 fron Phanacia. Machina synthesis of ollgodeoxynucleotides were performad Using an Applied Biosystems Model 380A Synthesizer with phosphoramidites. High Pressure Liquid Chromatography was <code>carried out using Zorbax ODS 9.3 mm</code> (B = 10^{-2} M triethylammonium acetate pH 7.5 and A = 50% B + **50x acetonitrile, gradient lo-50% A in 20 min.1 and HS5 Cl6 (gradient 5-252 of acetonitrile in B in 20 min.1 columns. T4 polynucleotide klnase, restriction endonuclease HpaII and T4 DNA lfgase were purchased from Amarshan.**

Functionalization of solid supports (6 to 14) with activated nucleosides (1b to 5b). The preparation of the support 6 is given as an example; 200 mg of Enzacryl functionalized by 1,10-diaminodecane (0.44 mMol/g)¹⁰ and 150 mg (0.25 mMol) of 5-methyl-4-triazolyl-pyrimidin-2-one-**5'-0-diaethoxytrltyl-3'4btmoyl-8-0-2'-deoxyribofuranosidcII were left in suspension in dloxane or pyridfne at room temperature for 2-10 days. The support was filtered and abundantly rinced with pyridine. nethanol and dichloromathane. The residual amino groups of the support were** capped by treatment with acetic anhydride (200 µl) in pyridine (2 ml). In the case of glass beads (500 mg), isobutylchloroformate (200 µl) in pyridine (3 ml) for 15 min. were used according to standard procedure²⁵. The loading of each support was estimated by spectroscopic measurement at **507 nm of MT released by detritylation with 2% BSA of an allquot (Table).**

Synthesis of an anchored ollgodeoxynucleotlde from a primer nucleoside. As an example, the synthesis of a 15-mer from the 3'-ends of the cytidine primer 14 was described (Scheme 4).

In the 3'-5' elongation (route a), the first step consisted of a 3'-3' coupling with the 3'-end of the support and the 3'-OH of a 5'-dimethoxytritylated thymidine. 100 mg (1.0 uMol) of 14 were **detritylatad with 2% BSA, then acetylated with acetic anhydride. The support was then 3'-decyanoethylated with 1 ml t-butylamine-pyridine (l/9, v/v) for 20 min. and reacted with 60 equlv. DMTT In presence of 100 equiv. of TPSNT (1.3,5-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl nitrotriazolel in** anhydrous pyridine (61% yield). The following coupling steps with ^{DMT}T6, ^{DMT}GAC, ^{DMT}CAC, ^{DMT}A6T, **TrCCC ware accompllshed wlth the classical 3'-5' elongation. Each coupling reaction was followed by a capping step of the unreacted 5'-ends. The supported ollgomer was then subjected to deblocking** conditions (2 ml 1 M TMG-PAO overnight, 2 ml conc. NH₄0H at 50° for 5 hours).

In the 5'-3' elongation (route b), the oligomer was prepared by 5'-3' coupling with trimer blocks. 150 mg (1.5 _µMol) of support 14 were decyanoethylated with 1 ml t-butylamine-pyridine and then reacted successively with 28 equiv. of ^{HO}CCC, ^{HO} AGT, ^{HO} CAC, ^{HO} GAC and ^{HO}GTT_{Tr} in the presence **of an excess of TPSNT (90 equlv.). Each coupling step was preceded by the dacyanoethylatfon of the anchored intermediate ollgomer. At the end of the synthesis, the anchored oligomer was deprotected (1 M TMG-PAD overnight, cont. NH40H at 50' for 5 hl.**

The expected sequences remained 3' or 5'-tritylated in order to facilitate HPLC purification of the released oligomars or to achieve solid supported enzymatic purification (unreported results).

Release of the synthesized oligomer from the support. After completion of the synthesis, the partially deprotected oligomers anchored to the support were submitted to an alkaline hydrolysis. **50 mg of supports 19 and 20 were treated with 0.5 ml 2 N NaOH at 60' for 10 min. After neutraliza- - tion with Oowex 50U-pyrldinium, 1.6 00 and 2.0 00 of the released products were Isolated from 19 and 20 respectively. The crude olfgoners were then purified on Zorbax ODS (gradlent of acetonitrile in 10-2 M triethylaaznonium acetate pH 7.21. 0.35 OD of the 5'-tritylated 15-mer (22%) and 0.23 OD of the 3'-tritylated 15-mzr (11%) were isolated.**

Synthesis of the modified oligodeoxynucleotides 21, 22 and 23. The oligomers bearing a linker arm were obtained by incorporation of a 5-methyl-4-triazolyl-pyrimidin-2-one-1-_B-D-2'-deoxyribo**furanoside during the elongation of the chain.**

The synthesis of the heptamers 21 and 22 was performed in solution following the phosphostriester method¹⁴. The incorporation of ^tT as monomer was accomplished in the last coupling step. After purification on silica gel, the heptamers were treated with 5 equiv. of 1,6-hexanediamine in **dioxane (0.15 to 0.20 Ml. After completion of the reaction (CCM), the ollgomars were subjected to** deblocking conditions (0.3 M TMG-PAO overnight, conc. NH₄OH at 50° for 5 h). The dimethoxy**trltylated oligoner were then purified by HPLC on Zorbax ODS.**

The synthesis of the 35-mer was performed following the phosphoramidite chemistry using an Applied **Bfosystems Model 3BOA synthesizer. The modified nucleoside tT was incorporated as a extra step** from a functionalized cartridge with the phosphotriester chemistry. The machine elongation was accomplished from this primer. To the synthesized supported oligomer (30 mg, 0.2 μ Mol) was added 10 **equlv. of 1.6-diaminohexane in pyridine (0.15 Ml for 48 h. The olfgomer was then deprotected (TMG-PAO, #l4QH, CH3COOHl and purified by electrophonsis on 15% polyacrylamide gel (15 001.** The complementary strand was prepared using the synthesizer and purified according to standard **methodsI4.**

Attachamnt of a modified olfgonucleotide to an activated support. To 200 mg of swollen activated CH-Sepharose-4B (Pharmacia) were added 500 nMol (2 mg) of heptamer 21 or 22 dissolved in 1 ml of **the coupling buffer (0.1 M WaHC03. 0.5 W HaCl). After stirring overnlght at 4'. the gel suspen**sion was treated according to described procedure³. The efficiency of the reaction was estimated **by UV maasurement of the DMT cation released by acid treatment of loaded Sepharose (about 80%).**

The same quantity (3 00) of strands 23 and 24 was measured and mixed in $50 \,\mu$ 1 0.5 M NaCl. After heating at 90[°], the hybridized oligomers were added to activated CH-Sepharose-4B according to described procedure (Pharmacia). The efficiency of the attachment was estimated by adding an **alfquot of 32P-labeled 35-mar to the hybridized oligomars (35% yield). The presence and the accessibility of the anchored duplex were checked by enzymatic cleavage (Figure 3).**

Cleavage by a restriction endonuclease. An allquot of deprotected oligoaer bound to the support (1 mg of CPG-support. l-10 nMo1 5'-ends) was labeled in a 30 ul reaction mixture containing Y-32P-ATP (14 pMol1 and 74 polynucleotlde klnase (13 U) at 37' for 30 min. according to established procedures24. The enzyme was denaturated by heating at 68' for 15 mfn and the support was washed abundantly with kinase buffer. To the the 5'-labeled anchored olfgcmar were added 200 pMol of the complementary strand in 20 ul TA buffer (33 mM Tris-acetate pH 7.9, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 66 mM potassium acetate and 0.5 mM DTT). The reaction mixture was heated at 90° **for 2 min. and cooled slowly to room temperature over a 1 h period. The restriction endonuclease (18 U) was then added. After incubation at 37' for 3 h. the supernatant (6 ~11 was loaded onto a 20% polyacrylanida gel (8 M urea) for analysis.**

REFERENCES

- **Bhavender, P.S.; Bailey, L.F.; Messing, R.A. (1982) Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 21;**
- **827-834. Albert& 8. and Herrfck, 6. (1971) In Methods in Enzymology, Academic Press, 21. 198-217.** 2
- $\overline{3}$ **Dean. P.0.6.; Johnson, W.S. and Middle, F.A. (1985) in Affinity Chromatography: A Practical Approach. IRL Press.**
- **Arndt-Jovin, O.J.; Jovin, TM.; Bahr, Y.; Frischauf, A.M. and Marquardt, M. (1975) Eur. J. Biochem. 64. 411-418.**
- 5
- **Gflham, P.T. (19641 J. Am. Chem. Sot. 86, 4982-4985. Cozzarelli, N.R.; Malechen, N.E.; Jovin, T.M. (1967) Biochem. Biophys. Res. C-n. 28,** 6. **578-586.**
- $\overline{7}$
- **Jovin, TM. and Kornberg, A. (lg6Bl J. Bfol. Chem. 243, 250-259. Goldkorn, T. and Prockop. O.J. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res. 14. 9171-9191.** я
- 9 **Huynh-Dfnh, T.; Pochet, S. and Igolen, J. Fr. Pat. n* 84.11.101; Eur. Pat. n'B5.401433.9 Pochet. S.; Huynh-Dlnh, T. and Igolen, J. (1985) Tetrahedron Letters, 627-630.**
- $10₁$
- 11 **Sung. Y.L. (1982) J. Org. Chem. 47, 3623-3628.**
- 12 **Reese, C.B. and Ubasawa. A. (1gBO) Tetrahedron Letters, 2265-2268.**
- 13 **Kester, H.; Bfernat, J.; McManus** , **J.; Nolter. A.; Stuape, A.; Narang, Ch.K. and Sfnha, N.O. (19841 Tetrahedron.1. 103-112.**
- 14 **Gait, M.J. (1985) Olfgonucleotide Synthesis: A Practical Approach. IRL Press.** 15
- Crea, R. and Horn, R. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res. 8, 2331-2348. **Ohtsuka, E.; Takashima. H. and Ikehara. H. (1981) Tetrahedron Letters, 765-768.** 16
- 17
- 18
- Koster, H. and Heyns, K. (1972) Tetrahedron Letters, 1531–1534.
Arnold, L.J.Jr. PCT Int. Appl. WO 8501,051 (1985) Chem. Abs. 103:87450p.
Horn, T. and Urdea, M.S. (1985) Nucleic Acids Res. Symposium Ser. n° 16, 153–156.
Urd 19
- 20
- 21 **Webb. T.R. and Matteuccf. M.D. (1986) J. Am. Chem. Sot. lm, 2764-2765.**
- $\overline{22}$ **Webb, T.R. and Matteucci. M.D. (1986) Nucleic Acids Res. 14, 7661-7674. Ruth, J.; Smith. R.D. and Lohrmann, R. (1985) Federation Proc. 44, 1662.**
- $\overline{23}$
- Maniatis, T.; Fritsch, E.F. and Sambrook, J. (1982) in Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manuel; 24 **Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York.**
- 25 **6cugh. G.R.; Brundan, M.J. and Gilham. P.T. (1981) Tetrahedron Letters, 4177-4180.**